Deutsches Rechnungslegungs Standards Committee e.V.

Accounting Standards Committee of Germany



ASCG • Joachimsthaler Str. 34 • 10719 Berlin

Wolf Klinz Chair of the EFRAG Financial Reporting Board 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels

Financial Reporting Technical Committee

Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-12

E-Mail: info@drsc.de

Berlin, 26 March 2025

Dear Wolf,

Re: EFRAG's Draft Letter to the European Commission Regarding Endorsement of IFRS 18 Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements

On behalf of the Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG) I am writing to comment on EFRAG's Draft Letter to the European Commission Regarding Endorsement of IFRS 18 Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements. We appreciate the opportunity to comment on EFRAG's assessments on IFRS 18 Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements.

We support EFRAG's overall conclusion to recommend IFRS 18 Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements for endorsement.

We agree with the topics identified by EFRAG for which some stakeholders expressed concerns or provided mixed views in terms of relevance, reliability, comparability and understandability. Furthermore, we agree with EFRAG's assessment that none of these topics prevents IFRS 18 from meeting the technical criteria for endorsement.

Notwithstanding our general support, we have rediscussed the expected costs and benefits from applying IFRS 18. As also explained by EFRAG in its Draft Letter to the European Commission, some of the changes introduced by IFRS 18 will result in significant implementation costs for some entities affected (depending on their current systems and reporting practices). Some entities therefore still question whether IFRS 18 strikes the right cost-benefit-balance.

We acknowledge that IFRS 18 will improve the structure of the statement of financial performance and the new subtotals will give investors a consistent starting point for analysing an entity's performance and will make it easier for investors to compare entities. Therefore, on balance, we support EFRAG's assessment to recommend IFRS 18 for endorsement.

Deutsches Rechnungslegungs Standards Committee e.V.

Accounting Standards Committee of Germany



Our responses to the complete set of questions raised in the invitation to comment are laid down in the appendix to this letter. If you would like to discuss our comments further, please do not hesitate to contact me (morich@drsc.de).

Yours sincerely,

Sven Morich

Vice President



INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON IFRS 18 Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by 26 March 2025 using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's website: Open consultations: express your views.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on IFRS 18 *Presentation and Disclosure in Financial Statements* ('IFRS 18'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of IFRS 18 against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area (EEA).

A summary of IFRS 18 is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 18.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 18.

Your details

1

Please provide the following details:			
	(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its	
		name:	
		Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG)	
	(b)	Are you a:	
		Preparer User Other (please specify)	
		National Standard-setter	
	(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:	
		The ASCG is the National Standard-setter in the area of group financial reporting in Germany. It has been formally acknowledged by the Ministry of Justice as the private standardisation organisation pursuant sec. 342q of the GCC.	
	(d)	Country where you are located:	
		Germany	

Contact details, including e-mail address:

		info@drsc.de
EFR/	AG's in	itial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement
2	EFRA	G's initial assessment of IFRS 18 is that it meets the technical criteria for endorsement
	In ot	her words, IFRS 18 is not contrary to the principle of true and fair view and meets the
	crite	ria of understandability, relevance, reliability, comparability and lead to pruden
	acco	unting. In its assessment, EFRAG has identified topics for which some stakeholders
	expr	essed concerns or provided mixed views in terms of relevance, reliability, comparability
	and (understandability. However, none of them prevents IFRS 18 from meeting each of the
	crite	ria and from delivering prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2
	of th	e accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement o
	IFRS	18.
	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
		∑ Yes
		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the
		implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying Draf
		Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 18 that you
		believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of IFRS 18? If there
		are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation

The European public good

(e)

In its assessment of the impact of IFRS 18 on the European public good, EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 18.

Improvement in financial reporting

4 EFRAG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of IFRS 18 is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether IFRS 18 is an improvement over current

requirements across the areas which have been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 12 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that IFRS 18 is likely to improve the quality of financial reporting.

	Do you agree with the assessment?
	∑ Yes
	If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Costs	and benefits
5	EFRAG is also assessing the costs and benefits that are likely to arise for preparers and for
	users on implementation of IFRS 18 in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years.
	Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.
	The results of the initial assessment of costs and benefits are set out in paragraphs 13 to 161
	of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding
	endorsement of IFRS 18. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that IFRS 18 has impact
	on the presentation of financial information of each entity. The analysis performed revealed
	that entities will incur implementation costs, but ongoing costs will be relatively low.
	EFRAG's overall assessment is that the benefits from IFRS 18 will more than outweigh the
	resulting costs.
	Do you agree with this assessment?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you
	believe the costs involved will be?
	As a National Standard-setter we are not in a position to comment on this issue.

Other factors

6 EFRAG has identified a number of other factors that could be considered in assessing whether the endorsement of IFRS 18 is conducive to the European public good (see Appendix 3, paragraphs 162 to 205). For understanding the potential effects to the European economy, and particularly the effect on competitiveness, a comparison between the requirements under IFRS 18 and US GAAP has been conducted. EFRAG preliminarily assessed that the issues raised by stakeholders bringing improved requirements under IFRS 18, are similar to those observed in the US. Even though IFRS 18 is expected to address these issues and lead to improved quality of financial reporting, some of these may still persist in the US, considering the current US-GAAP and SEC regulation requirements. Moreover, a number of provisions included in both IFRS 18 and US GAAP / SEC regulation are subject to management's judgement and the effects of these requirements will depend on how entities apply them in practice. However, based on the analysis performed, EFRAG has not identified circumstances in which IFRS 18 could have any adverse effect to the European economy, including financial stability and economic growth

Overall assessment with respect to the European public good

7	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of IFRS 18 would be conducive to the
	European public good (see paragraph 206 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter
	to the European Commission).
	Do you agree with this conclusion?
	If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.